Video Surveillance and IP Storage

A scalability study with OnSSI NetDVMS and Intransa Scalable, External IP Storage
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Introduction

Used to thinking about recorded video from the days not so long ago when tape-based VCR recording was the primary media, many security practitioners are finding new challenges confronting them today. Requirements for longer retention periods, better video quality, more coverage, and increased reliability are vying for attention while budgets are stagnant or under pressure.

IP storage is a key to resolving these seemingly opposed issues. Unfortunately, when it comes to disk storage as a component to video surveillance systems, most security practitioners only think about storage capacity in terms of DVRs and NVRs. And worst of all, most of the time, storage is thought of only after the rest of the surveillance system has been designed and purchased. This can be a costly mistake, not only because DVRs and NVRs typically use fixed, captive storage instead of more cost effective, scalable and reliable shared, external IP storage, but also because not all storage performance is equal.

What many security practitioners may not know is that the storage system that is critical to the long term viability of their surveillance system.

This report looks at the issues, shows performance limitations and results, and then demonstrates how a powerful video management system such as the OnSSI NetDVMS application can leverage Intransa Shared, External IP Storage much more effectively than DVR/NVR fixed, captive storage.

Testing was performed on a real, functioning video surveillance system with components from OnSSI, Intransa, AXIS Communications, Dell, and other vendors, a strong example of an IP based system with multi-vendor interoperability.

The report closes with recommendations on best practices for enabling a video surveillance system with OnSSI NetDVMS.
Part One: Video Surveillance Solution Overview

Video Recording and Frame Loss

Unintended video frame loss is common in many video storage systems today, typically caused by one of just two factors.

First, the storage system may not be able to keep up with the video data rate due to the number of cameras, compression, resolution and frame rate settings employed. Or the problem may be because the network video recorder (NVR) can not process the all of video frames in a timely manner.

Dropping frames in video applications may have been tolerable in some application environments, exhibited in behavior such as a nearly unnoticeable picture freeze. However, more and more security practitioners and their end user customers are finding continued frame loss unacceptable.

Often, frames drop more as more cameras with higher resolution are added to the surveillance system. From storage system prospective, physical security system designers should consider this and the impact that the design will have on performance when it comes to system scalability and stored video quality.

Technology Used

OnSSI NetDVMS is a perfect example software platform to represent all VMS vendors. NetDVMS is a very modern, powerful digital video management system that is fully IP enabled. This whitepaper will look at NetDVMS to illustrate how storage affects stored video quality. We will also look at how videos are actually recorded to storage systems. Finally, we will define parameters for quantifying stored video quality, and then present a series of useful performance measurements.

From a performance perspective, an Intransa mid-range system will be used as an appropriate storage solution example of an IP storage area network. Intransa StarterBlock occupies the middle of the company’s shared, external IP storage family, with more system throughput and capacity than either the edge recording platform StarterBlock or the departmental EdgeBlock series.

A single Intransa StorStac BuildingBlock system is able to support 880 cameras with 30FPS 4CIF MJPEG compression [26400 FPS]. The high end of the Intransa product line, PerformanceBlock, can support 3,500 cameras at similar compression rates [100,000 FPS].

With such capability for scaling to huge online video repositories, Intransa enables OnSSI NetDVMS for large scale video surveillance deployment.
Intransa Storage Architecture

Intransa StorStac BuildingBlock systems consist of two independently scalable components, integrated to form a complete IP-based storage area network (SAN) platform for recording of video and data.

These components are:

- **Performance Controller Units or PCUs**
- **Storage Capacity Enclosures or SCEs**

Performance Controller Units handle all storage management and virtualization. PCUs are clustered to form one or more “realms”, managing the performance scalability (each adds additional system throughput), and to support high availability (should one PCU fail, the system “fails over” to another PCU in the cluster; up to four PCUs may be clustered together).

Storage Capacity Enclosures hold the disk drives used in the system. All are hot-swappable so as to be replaceable while the rest of the system continues to function in the event of a disk failure. Multiple SCEs can be added, modularly increasing storage capacity for retention up to 1,500TB.

Such independent scalability of both processor throughput and retention capacity allows video surveillance system administrators to manage the number of cameras and varied resolution, independent of the retention period.

Table 1 below summarizes optimum storage requirements for video surveillance applications.
Table 1: Summarize Video Surveillance Storage Requirements

| Cost / Low Entry Price & Manageability | • From single storage enclosure to multiple, managed as a single entity  
• Easy setup and simple ongoing administration  
• Managed through a single GUI interface, with easy provisioning  
• Manage major functions from choice of physical security or IT perspective |
| Retention & Capacity Scaling | • Make efficient use of disk capacity  
• Support current capacity needs and future requirements  
• Scale from a few TB to 100, or 1000 and beyond modularly  
• Add capacity on demand, without re-cabling |
| Resolution & Performance Scaling (PFS) | • Optimized for video surveillance I/O workload  
• Dynamically add cameras / NVRs / IP devices on demand, from a few to thousands  
• Minimum performance impact by:  
  - Fragmentation at file or disk level  
  - RAID rebuild  
  - Controller failure / link failure |
| Reliability | • No single point of failure  
• Clustered storage  
• RAID support  
• HA configurations |
| Microsoft SQL Server/VMware ESX Support | • Server and storage consolidation through virtualization. |
| Tight Integration | • From storage planning, provisioning, monitoring to performance  
• Load balancing, high availability. |
| Distance Scaling | • Support LAN and WAN  
• IP-based |

The Intransa Scalable, External IP Storage Architecture is ideal for surveillance deployments as the primary video storage system. All Intransa storage platforms in the product line are Security-Grade IP Video Storage certified, supporting nearly 100 applications from physical security and IT vendors. OnSSI NetDVMS is also Security-Grade IP Video Storage certified though the Intransa StorAlliance Lab program.
Intransa IP storage includes design features that deliver outstanding capacity and performance. These are:

- Improved video storage reliability and capacity, allowing increased retention, higher resolution and maximum frame rates while decreasing maintenance and administration burden and costs

- Scalable IP Storage optimized for video surveillance IO workload, including heaving near-constant writes

- Certified for enterprise-grade IT storage for varied applications including consolidation and virtualization with VMware™ ESX, & Microsoft operating systems, Microsoft Exchange™ (2003 & 2007) & Microsoft SQL Server™, plus applications such as VTL and disk-to-disk backup and data warehousing

- Certified for physical security applications through the Intransa StorAlliance Labs, the GSO2010/GSI2010 labs, and the IPVS Magazine lab program

- Affordably grow capacity from 4 to 1,500TB or increase performance from 220MB/sec to 880MB/sec modularly with BuildingBlock, without re-cabling

- Advanced RAID 0, 1, 5, 6 and 10 protection, high availability configurations, and hot-swap disk drives, fans, power supplies and major components

**Video & Storage System Terminology**

Physical security and information technology (IT) are very different disciplines, and terminologies demonstrate this.

A quick review of these terminologies and how they are applied is required to ensure a level understanding of the discussion.

In a typical surveillance system, there are four components: surveillance camera, networking infrastructure, Network Video Recorder (NVR) and video storage system.

Figure 1 shows how video frames are recorded onto storage devices.
Camera vendors usually define performance as a combination of the number of channels, image resolution, frames per second, and compression method.

**Camera Channel** - Typically represents a single camera feed that can support multiple subscribers view the video independently.

**Image Resolution** - Usually measured in CIF, 4CIF, megapixel, 10 megapixel, etc.

**Frames per Second or FPS** - The higher the frame rate, the better the video quality.

**Compression Method** - MJPEG, MPEG-2, MPEG-4, and H.264 are common.

As more intelligence is added to the cameras for things like motion detection and video analytics, the resources of the device become less available. This can lead to video quality becoming degraded.

In the IT networking world, performance is based on a combination of available network bandwidth plus how fast switches/routers on the network are able switch and route packets to their destinations from individual devices.

Network bandwidth ranges from as low as 10Mbps to 100Mbps (fast Ethernet), 1,000Mbps (1Gbps) and more recently 10Gbps. Note that the Mbps unit is “Megabits per second”. Typical IP network interfaces are 1GbE (1 Gigabit Ethernet) or 10GbE (10 Gigabit Ethernet).
For switches/routers, performance is related to how many packets per second the device can switch toward its destination and what is the latency or delay for each packet to transverse the switching device.

The NVR is the engine of the modern video surveillance system. The NVR manages the cameras as well as storage system. The NVR receives frames from various cameras, converts the frames into IOs (Input/Output operations), and then writes the IOs to the storage system.

There are two ways NVRs write to storage: DVRs can directly write to a storage block device (a disk drive), or they can write to the storage device (disk drive) through a file system.

For Windows-based NVRs, the NTFS (Net Technology File System) file system is typically used. The ext3 (Third Extended File System) file system is common for Linux-based NVRs. Many NVRs also offer advanced video functionality, such as video analytics, access control and event management.

For more definitions and specific details of video surveillance, IT and storage terms, Intransa provides an extensive Glossary of Terms at http://www.intransa.com/technology/glossary.php, useful for those interested in either IT or physical security terminology.

Storage is the last mile of the video surveillance system, where the rubber meets the road. Video frames from cameras are passed from the NVR to the storage system as IOs. This translates in the IT storage world to IO workload.

The pattern used by IOs to access the storage system has a huge effect on the storage system performance. The list of parameters for IO workload includes: request block size (typically in the unit of KB or KiloByte), random or sequential actions, read write ratio, etc.

When it comes to the storage IO transport, the terms most pertinent are SCSI (Small Computer System Interface), FC (Fibre Channel), SAS (Serial Attached Storage) and iSCSI (SCSI over IP protocol).

The device that actually stores the video is disk drive. Common current disk drive types are SATA (Serial ATA or Serial Advanced Technology Attachment), SAS (Serial Attached SCSI) and FC (Fibre Channel).

Disk drives are differentiated along the lines of performance, capacity and reliability. Storage systems provide virtualized storage to the NVR, and provide data loss protection through RAID (Redundant Array of Independent Disks).

The performance terminology for storage is typically measured units of MBps (MegaBytes), for throughput and IOPS (IOs per second).

For protection, review, or analysis, video also needs to be archived or backed up. When designing and implementing a video surveillance system, practitioners need to
be aware that the storage requirements for a backup/archive application are very different from the requirements for live video. As a result, many NVRs use the concept of separating live video storage from storage used for archived video, yet are able access both through the same management interface. OnSSI NetDVMS is an example of a system with this advanced capability.

**Frame Sampling Method and Cumulative Percentage**

How do we define the **recorded video quality** for a video surveillance application? For many applications, only recorded video is meaningful, so measuring frame losses is a measure of the recorded video quality.

The recorded video quality can be considered a total system scalability measurement. It can be impacted by the number of cameras, FPS, resolution, NVR configuration and the underlying storage system. Since video is a continuous streaming application, we will need to use a statistical sampling method to quantify the recorded video quality.

The cumulative percentage of recorded frames fits nicely into describing video quality, since applications have different tolerances and requirements for video quality.

For this paper, we will use two parameters:

- Total Frame Loss (TFL)
- Frame Cumulative Percentage

Total Frame Loss is the percentage of frame loss over a period of time. Frame Cumulative Percentage as a way to describe the smoothness of the video stream.

As an example, Appendix A shows a measured FPS sampling result. The results were sampled every 30 seconds over a period of 1 hour.

These results are based on 60 cameras, at 30FPS and 4CIF with MJPEG compression.

The storage is based on an array of 14 RAID 5 SATA disk drives.

Quick math would lead you to expect that the storage system would therefore record $60 \times 30 \text{FPS} = 1800 \text{ FPS}$ or (cameras $\times$ frames per second $=$ total frames per second).

Figure 2 shows sample history, and you can see there are few drops on frame rate.
This shows that average FPS is 1737 FPS, so the total frame loss is actually \((1800 - 1737)/1800 = 3.5\%\).

Figure 2: IO sampling history. Each data point represents the average FPS recorded during that 30sec period.

Figure 3: This is the frequency histogram of the FPS sampling. Most of samples have 1800 FPS as expected.

To better see how frames get dropped, Figure 3 shows a histogram of the above samples. The majority of samples are around 1800 FPS as expected. However,
there are also some instances where the recorded FPS is quite lower than the average 1800FPS.

To see what percentage of samples fall below the FPS threshold, we plotted the cumulative percentage of the FPS sampling as shown in the figure below.

![Recorded Video Quality](image)

Figure 4: Recorded Video FPS Quality. The cumulative percentage of Frame recorded for various FPS rate.

Figure 4 is very useful to defining acceptable recorded video quality. Reading from the graph, you can see that 50% of the samples fall below 1750 FPS. 9% are less than 1650 FPS, and 3% are less than 1600 FPS.

Therefore, if you assume your recorded video quality requirement has a zero tolerance for FPS dropping below 50% of required frame rate (if 1800 FPS is the expected frame rate, sampling instances must be 1800*50% or 900 FPS). The graph indicates that this is possible.

If your require is that no more than 2% of samples have a frame loss exceeding 10% (1800*(1-10%) = 1620 FPS), the graph indicates this cannot be achieved with the current system.
Part Two: Test Configuration

System Setup and Configuration

Figure 5 shows the system setup configuration. The Intransa BuildingBlock system consists of two independent scaling components: Performance Control Units (PCU) that handle all storage management and virtualization, and the Storage Capacity Enclosure (SCE) married with the Storage Expansion Enclosure (SEE) - these are basically disk drive enclosures. PCUs are connected to SCEs through standard Ethernet network.

System Performance Evaluation

In this example, our test system is based on a OnSSI NetDVMS running on two Dell 2950 servers, a typical platform used in this market. The Dell servers are connected to an Intransa StorStac BuildingBlock through a typical Ethernet switch.

The devices and software in the configuration are as follows:

- Axis Communications virtual camera version 2.02.001
- OnSSI NetDVMS version 6.0e
- Microsoft Windows 2003 server R2 32bit OS
- Intransa StorStac 4.2.000
- Microsoft iSCSI software Initiator 2.05
- Intel Pro MT 1000 Dual port NIC card
- Dell PowerConnect 4948, jumbo frame enabled Ethernet switch
System Performance Evaluation

A LUN or Logical Unit Number is a network storage term. A LUN is a method of linking multiple disk drives together to form a single volume. From a Windows perspective for example, instead of 14 drives appearing as separate drive letters each, A: through N:, the LUN can be set to appear as a single drive or volume.

A LUN layout is how individual LUNs are presented to or are accessible by the NVR system. Getting the LUN layout correct can go a long way to achieving optimal performance.

Single LUN Using a 14 Disk RAID5 Diskgroup

We will first evaluate how many cameras can be supported using 14 disk RAID 5 equipped disk array with a single LUN.

Figure 6 shows the total frame loss percentage for various FPS and camera combinations:

![Graph showing frame loss percentage vs number of cameras and FPS]

Figure 6: With single 14disk RAID5 LUN, total frame loss is measured as a function of number of cameras, or frame per second.

With a single volume supported by a single, 14 disk RAID 5 diskgroup, the Intransa StorStac BuildingBlock system supports more than 55 cameras at 30FPS with 4CIF and MJPEG, resulting in less than 5% total frame drop.

This corresponds to about 57MBps throughput from the cameras to the storage system.
Overall performance can be monitored through the Intransa StorStac Graphical User Interface, or from the NVR. Examples are shown below in Figure 7.

![Performance monitoring from Intransa GUI or from NVR](image)

**Two LUNs Using Single 14 Disk RAID 5 Diskgroup**

With 2 LUNs per 14disk RAID 5 configuration, Figure 8 shows the cumulative percentage of frame distribution from 2 NVRs

![Cumulative Percentage of FPS distribution from 2 NVRs](image)
With 2 LUNs from 14 RAID5 STAT disks, the storage system is able to support >64 cameras (30FPS, 4CIF MJPEG) with 2 NVRs (each with 32 cameras, or 960 FPS).

The total frame loss is ranging between 0.6-3.0% and 10% cumulative percentage is ranging between 2.3-3.0% (less than 3% of samples have more than 10% frame losses). This translates to about 1920 total FPS, or about 60MBps total throughput.

You can also view performance from StorStac GUI as shown below. The performance statistics includes throughput (MBps), IOPS and latency. The quality of the video is reflected on the smoothness of the performance. Figure 9 below shows an example of the StorStac performance per LUN.

Four LUNs With Two 7 Disk RAID 5 Diskgroups

With 2 diskgroups, each with 7 disks and RAID 5, in a total of 4 LUNs were able to deliver > 72 cameras (30FPS, 4CIF MJPEG) with 2 NVRs.

Disk/File Level Fragmentation

Disk and file level fragmentation is another factor that must be considered for performance.
In the live environment, the Test Engineering team ran out of performance tests after exhausting the entire storage system disk capacity [to almost 9TB]. Throughout, no significant performance losses were observed.

This demonstrated there was virtually no disk fragmentation produced by the Intransa shared, external IP storage system, even after using all available capacity.

**Number of Cameras or FPS**

With 2 NVRs, we also ran some performance tests with different frame rates for a total of 128 cameras. Figure 10 summarizes the results.

![Graph showing frame loss for different FPS rates](image)

*Figure 10: Frame loss is plotted for configurations with 15, 14, 13 and 12 FPS based on 128 cameras using 14 disks RAID5.*
Frame Loss due to Disk Failure and RAID rebuild

RAID 5 protects data loss from disk drive failure. When disks fail, a spare drive will be automatically allocated and the RAID group will be repaired.

The storage system operates in a degraded mode during this rebuild process. It therefore is very important to have control over the rebuild speed, allowing a balance to be set for recovery time versus support for ongoing video recording/playback, depending upon specific application requirements.

Not all storage systems are able to provide this rebuild speed control, although all Intransa StorStac systems do. That allows the RAID group to be rebuilt at the optimized speed, and avoid significant impact to video recording and frame rate.

Rebuild speed and Frame Loss with 63 camera 30FPS 4CIF with various rebuild speed:

Notice that with 1MBps rebuild speed, with 750GB drive capacity, it takes about 8 days to complete. With 5MBps rebuild speed, it takes almost 2 days to complete.
Part Three: Guidelines for Configurations

**NVR Best Practices**

For a typical NVR, security practitioners need to ensure that sufficient system resources are available. These resources typically are system CPU, memory and NIC (network interface card) bandwidth.

Security practitioners will need to talk with their NVR vendor or security integrator to determine the proper system requirements for CPU and memory resourcing.

Since storage access is through standard NICs using an iSCSI initiator, we recommend use of 1Gb Ethernet (1GbE) NICs. For compatibility between the iSCSI initiator and the storage, please consult with your storage vendor or your security integrator for the details.

Be sure to enable the Jumbo Frames option on the NICs used in the NVR system. Jumbo frames will reduce the CPU utilization on your NVRs, improving performance.

![Figure 11: NVR NIC configuration](image)

By default, most of NICs do not include a function set called TCP offload capabilities. Selecting a NIC that allows you to enable this ability can significantly reduce NVR CPU consumption.

Given that more than 90% of the read/write requests are write IOs, CPU overhead due to the iSCSI software is very minimal.
Each Dell 2950 platform included in the test has dual 3.0GHZ CPUs.

Table 2 shows typical CPU utilization for various request sizes. The measurement is based on a 10Gb Ethernet (10GbE) network, but can also be applied to lower performing 1Gb Ethernet (1GbE) networks from a CPU utilization perspective.

**Table 2: CPU utilization due to iSCSI overhead on NVR, measured using Dell 2950.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request Size (KB)</th>
<th>READ Throughput (MBps)</th>
<th>READ Host CPU Utilization %</th>
<th>WRITE Throughput (MBps)</th>
<th>WRITE Host CPU Utilization %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typically for 100 cameras with 30FPS 4CIF MJPEG, you will see about 10% CPU resources consumed due to software iSCSI traffic.

You can also set up redundant network links between NVRs and the storage system.

This is typically done through multipath IOs, known as MPIO. MPIO not only offers high availability but also increases the performance. If one link between NVR and storage system is down, IOs will be redirected to the second link automatically. Not all storage systems support MPIO, however.

If your storage system is fully protected from power outage, you can safely enable write cache to gain further performance. Be sure to discuss this with your NVR vendor or your security integrator before making this change.
Networking Best Practices

We recommend using separate Ethernet switches for front-end and back-end networks. Not all storage systems allow this separation, which can have a profound effect on overall, long term system performance and reliability.

The front-end network is the network used to connect NVRs to the storage PCUs.

The back-end network is for the storage connectivity between the storage PCUs and the storage enclosures holding the disk drives.

Jumbo Frames

Enable Jumbo Frames on the NVR, storage PCU, switches, and storage enclosures.

On an Intransa PCU, Jumbo Frames are enabled as:

```
StorStac> interface display
Name Controller State Alerts IPAddress NetMask MTU
eth1 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 172.30.33.232 255.255.255.0 1500
eth1:0 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 172.30.33.230 255.255.255.0 1500
team1 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 192.168.0.50 255.255.255.0 9000
team1:0 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 192.168.0.230 255.255.255.0 9000
```
eth2 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 192.168.0.50 255.255.255.0 9000
eth5 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 192.168.0.50 255.255.255.0 9000
eth3 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 10.0.2.1 255.255.0.0 9000
eth4 c0015C5F525B4 Healthy 0 10.0.2.2 255.255.0.0 9000
Response: Successful

Enable Flow Control on the Switch

You can verify flow control has been correct set from the Intransa storage PCU:

StorStac> debug shell
root@controller:/opt/internal:Debug> ethtool -a eth2
Pause parameters for eth2:
Autonegotiate: on
RX: on
TX: on
root@controller:/opt/internal:Debug> ethtool -a eth3
Pause parameters for eth3:
Autonegotiate: on
RX: on
TX: on
root@controller:/opt/internal:Debug> ethtool -a eth4
Pause parameters for eth4:
Autonegotiate: on
RX: on
TX: on

Storage Best Practices

GPT and Alignment

Video typically consumes many TB of storage, you should use a GPT (GUID or globally unique identifier partition table) partition.

GPT partitions are useful instead of using MBR (Master Boot Records) to enable more than 2TB volume support. When you first initialize the disk, you will see disk [default NBR format]:

www.intransa.com
Perform an alignment before you create the NTFS, as this will increase your performance:

C:\> diskpart
Microsoft DiskPart version 5.2.3790.1830
Copyright (C) 1999-2001 Microsoft Corporation.
On computer: MKT-10G-S1
DISKPART> select disk 3
Disk 1 is now the selected disk.
DISKPART> create partition primary align=64
DiskPart succeeded in creating the specified partition.
DISKPART> exit
Leaving DiskPart...

Next, format it using 64KB allocation unit size.
Now, you can configure your NVR to use this storage device. For OnSSI NetDVMS, simply add NTFS drive letter (E: drive in this example) to particular camera(s):
Proper LUN layout can also increase your performance.

As a matter of fact, LUN layout is also very important for your overall objectives such as high availability, and performance impact due to storage controller failure, RAID rebuild due to disk failure etc.

In an example, given 14 750GB SATA drives, how many cameras can be supported? Table 3 summarizes the measured performance results with various of LUN layout strategies together with some of the pros and cons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DiskGroup</th>
<th>Volumes (LUNs)</th>
<th># Cameras [30FPS 4CIF MJPEG] Supported</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One 14 disk-RAID5</td>
<td>1 LUN</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>This is the baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One 14 disk-RAID5</td>
<td>2 LUNs</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>With 2 LUNs using a single 14 disk RAID5 diskgroup, spindle resources are better utilized. However, only one processor is utilized in the DPU, the second processor is not handling any user IOs. In the case of a processor failure, the second process will take over and no impact to the application at all. Single disk failure (RAID rebuild) will impact all the cameras configured on this RAID group, although the impact is minimal due to the fact that users can configure the priority between the user IOs and rebuild IOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 7 disk-RAID5</td>
<td>4 LUNs</td>
<td>&gt;72</td>
<td>With two disk groups, IOs can be distributed between two processors on DPU so the system can handle more cameras with given 14 drives. DPU processor failure will have minimal impact the overall performance after the failover. With 72 cameras, you barely see the differences (due to that single process doesn’t do any cache-mirroring). The impact due to the disk failure limited to half of the cameras.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Impacts of LUN layout on video recording performance
To summarize, Table 4 shows how many cameras can be supported with a single standard Intransa StorStac BuildingBlock [30FPS, 4CIF, MJPEG compression].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 PCU</th>
<th>2 PCU</th>
<th>3 PCU</th>
<th>4 PCU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 DPU</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 DPU</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 DPU</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 DPU</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 DPU</td>
<td></td>
<td>440</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 DPU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>660</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: BuildingBlock system performance summary
## Appendix A: Measured FPS samples with 60 cameras, 30FPS 4CIF MJPEG :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>FPS</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>FPS</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>FPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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About Intransa

Intransa provides affordable, scalable and dependable IP storage, designed specifically for use as external video retention capacity for DVRs, Hybrid DVRs, NVRs, or as an all-IP video surveillance storage system platform. Intransa IP storage is cost effective for a surveillance system using a single DVR, with benefits and savings growing as more DVRs, NVRs, surveillance cameras and other devices are added.

Intransa external video storage is based on our proven IP SAN storage system, ideal for use as an edge or as the primary video storage platform for surveillance deployments. It has been certified through our Security-Grade IP Video Storage program with nearly 100 physical security and IT vendors and their products for risk-free integration.

The integrated Video Storage Administrator (VSA) functionality of StorStac allows non-storage experts to get the most out of their Intransa IP storage.

The Intransa Video Storage Administrator (VSA) provides the ability to set up and administer storage in physical security terms such as resolution, compression, frame rate, number of cameras and desired retention, not complicated IT terminology.
Unlike captive, fixed storage in DVRs or standard IT workload storage sometimes found with NVR systems, Intransa external IP storage is optimized for video workloads. This video optimization also eliminates performance problems related to disk fragmentation found in general purpose IT storage, and allows higher utilization that can lessen the total amount of storage required.

Intransa IP SAN scalable storage solutions scale modularly from 2TB of IP storage, suitable for a few cameras and a few weeks of retention, to more than 1,500 TB with modular upgrades to support thousands of recording devices for a year or more retention. Performance can be similarly scaled, allowing faster recording and support for many more devices.

With Intransa StorStac’s fault tolerant architecture, enterprise-grade components and hot swap capabilities, system administration and ongoing maintenance is simplified and greatly reduced with all Intransa IP SAN storage systems.
Intransa IP storage is also proven for standard IT applications like storage consolidation and virtualization for “Green IT” needs, with support for both 1 and 10GbE IP interfaces.

Some users chose to run IT and physical security applications on the same Intransa system, as needed. Advanced Intransa-developed features are also included for IT storage administrators. These include Intransa DynaStac Thin Provisioning, StorAR Asynchronous Replication, RAID 0, 1, 5, 6, and 10 support, StorCluster N+1 Clustering and Failover, StorStac Snapshot, Global Sparing, Dynamic Load Balancing, Non-disruptive Upgrades, call home support, and the powerful StorManager graphical user interface (GUI) and integrated command line interface (CLI).

Intransa believes in the power of partnership and alliances, and has funded the StorAlliance Technology Lab to ensure that the promise of IP is delivered in real world solutions. The lab certifies IT products through the Intransa 10GbE IP SAN Certified program and the Security-Grade IP Video Storage Certified program for physical security.

Through the StorAlliance program, and other real-world test environments such as the GSO 2010 (www.gsoevents.com) conference series where security practitioner participants get to perform hands on testing with multiple IP systems from a dozen or more vendors all using Intransa IP storage, external IP storage upgrades are tested in real world conditions before reaching customers.

Intransa IP storage is multi-function in nature, unlike the single purpose storage found in DVRs and NVRs. Physical security applications like life safety, access control, physical security information systems and IP devices ranging from surveillance cameras through to card readers, slot machines and retail systems can all benefit from the storage system.

IT vendors certified include those offering operating systems, email, relational databases, ILM, CDP, HSM, VTL, backup and recovery, data warehousing, data mining, clustered file systems, network attached storage, and server and storage consolidation. Participants include Microsoft, with the first IP storage to be certified with 10GbE interfaces supporting Microsoft Exchange 2007, and VMware ESX virtualization.
Only vendor products that have been tested in a similar manner can be considered as low risk for physical security applications, in addition to demonstrating real-world customer deployments.

Intransa believes in standards for the good of the industry and our customers. As such we also are members and supporters of key industry associations, including the Security Industry Association (SIA), the American Correctional Association (ACA), the Storage Networking Industry Association (SNIA) and its Green Storage Initiative, and the Green Grid for green IT. Intransa employees are also members and participants in important professional associations, including ASIS International and its Physical Security Council.

Intransa Security-Grade IP Video Storage is available from Intransa StorPartner integrators and dealers worldwide. To learn more, contact Intransa or an Intransa StorPartner.
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